

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| RPS9-2000-0052US1 | 4229 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| EXAM | INER |
| CURCIO, JA | AMES A F |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2132 | - |
| DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004 | 4 |
| | EXAM CURCIO, JA ART UNIT 2132 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

| Application No. | →plicant(s) | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 09/640,839 | MCCLANAHAN, MARK GREGORY | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| James Curcio | 2132 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| | 1.01 | | |
| gust 2000. | 111 | | |
| action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| | | | |
| n from consideration. o. election requirement. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| | | | |
| have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received | on No ed in this National Stage | | |
| | | | |
| 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | |
| | atent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| | Examiner James Curcio Pars on the cover sheet with the country of the cover sheet with the cover she | | |



Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3-13, 30-40, and 57-67 objected to and must be renumbered in accordance with the following rule:

A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim that depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim that does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-81 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by He (US005944824A).

As per claims 1, 28, and 55, He discloses the following:

application framework . . . (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15; claim 1)

sign-on screen . . . (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15; claim 1)

logon input . . . (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15; claim 1)

Application/Control Number: 09/640,839

Art Unit: 2132

comparison of logon input and . . . database . . . (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15; "database" and "Distributed Computing Environment registry" - col. 15:47-54, claim 1).

As per claims 2, 15, 29, 42, 56, and 69, He further discloses the selection of an indication of said first level of access ("sending a request to one or more of the Network Elements (NE)" in col. 4:5-11; "choose from the list the desired NE" in col. 5:15-27) and the selection of an indication of a second level of access ("sending a request to one or more of the Network Elements (NE)" in col. 4:5-11; "choose from the list the desired NE" in col. 5:15-27).

As per claims 3, 30, and 57, He further discloses that "said user is logged onto said underlying operating system and an application environment with said first level of access thereby bypassing said initial sign-on screen . . . (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15; claim 1)

As per claims 4, 10, 16, 31, 37, 43, 58, 64, and 70, He further discloses that "if said logon input is not entitled to a second level of access . . ." then as alternatives, "said user is logged onto an application environment and said underlying operating system as said first level of access", "an indication of said second level of access will not be generated to said user . . ." and/or "said user is restricted to said first level of access." (col. 5:15-27, col. 7:32-41, col. 8:40-46).

As per claims 5, 9, 11, 17, 32, 36, 38, 44, 59, 63, 65, and 71, He further discloses that "if said logon input is entitled to a second level of access . . ." then as alternatives, "a switch user program switches said user to second level of access", and/or "an indication of said second level of access" is generated and can be selected "to switch

Application/Control Number: 09/640,839

Art Unit: 2132

level of access to said second level of access" (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15, col. 5:15-27, col. 7:32-41, col. 8:40-46, col. 14:54 to col. 15:5, claim 1).

As per claims 6, 12, 33, 39, 60, and 66, He further discloses that "said switch user program switches said user to said second level of access by modifying an underlying operating system's registry" ("audit trail" in col. 5:49-58).

As per claims 7, 13, 34, 40, 61, and 67, He further discloses that "said switch user program logs off said user with said first level of access, wherein said underlying operating system logs on said user with said second level of access (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15, col. 5:15-27, col. 14:54 to col. 15:5, col. 13:35-37).

As per claims 8, 35, and 62, He further discloses that "said logon input comprises a user identification and a user password (col. 5:7-14, col. 15:6-22).

As per claims 14, 41, and 68, He further discloses that application framework security database stores system operator information and defines at least one of the following: users, passwords, groups of users, and application specific authorization (col. 10:66 to col. 11:26).

As per claims 18-21, 45-48, and 72-75, He further discloses the transfer of said logon input to said underlying operating system for verification and the comparison of said logon input with an underlying operating system security database . . . (col. 5:7-14, col. 15:6-22).

As per claims 22-23, 49-50, and 76-77, He further discloses the comparison of said logon input with an underlying operating system security database . . . , the request from said user a logon identification, and the comparison of logon identification with said

Application/Control Number: 09/640,839

Art Unit: 2132

underlying operating system security database (col. 5:7-14, col. 8:40-46, and col. 15:6-22).

As per claims 24-26, 51-53, and 78-80, He further discloses that "if said underlying operating system security database verifies said user with access to said second level of access, then said switch user program switches said user to said second level of access" (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15, col. 5:7-27, col. 7:32-41, col. 8:40-46, col. 14:54 to col. 15:22, claim 1).

As per claims 27, 54, and 81, He further discloses that "if said underlying operating system security database does not verify said user with access to said second level of access, then said user is restricted to said first level of access" (col. 2:25 to col. 3:15, col. 5:7-27, col. 7:32-41, col. 8:40-46, col. 14:54 to col. 15:22, claim 1).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Cohen et al (US006178511B1)
- b. Wood et al (US006609198B1)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Curcio whose telephone number is 703-305-8887. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to

Art Unit: 2132

4:30 pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

de

JC

AU 2132

May 21, 2004

GILBERTO BARRON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100